Integrated Geophysical Investigation for Potential Gold Mineralised Zone within Lower Part of Zuru Schist Belts, NW Nigeria
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ABSTRACT
The study began with a reconnaissance survey that used high-resolution aeromagnetic data of the study area to identify suitable structure zones with the potential to trap mineral resources within the context of the gold exploration target. The findings of magnetic studies were followed up with detailed investigations using 2D electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) and induced polarisation (IP) techniques to reveal further details about gold mineral zones and other lithological boundaries. The airborne magnetic data of sheet 118_Yelwa was obtained from the NGSA; these datasets were processed and analyzed using Oasis Montaj’s first vertical derivative (FVD) and center for exploration targeting (CET) techniques. Results of FVD and CET grid anomalies show that regions of major magnetic structures (lineaments) are associated with granite gneiss, migmatitic augen gneiss, and medium to coarse-grained biotite when compared to the geological settings of the area. The zones of major structures obtained in this study coincided with previous magnetic studies of the area, located in the eastern parts of Ngaski, Yauri (Yelwa), Shanga, Agwara, as well as Magama's northwest region. Some of the regions for lineament (in the eastern part of Ngaski/Yauri) were investigated further with 2D ERT and IP detailed geophysical methods in a dipole-dipole configuration. The results of geoelectric techniques along profiles 1, 2, and 3 identified the major gold mineralisation potential zones, which were labeled A1, A2, and A3. These regions have low/high resistivity (1.6 to 459 Ωm/1889 to 7773 Ωm) and chargeability signatures (≥ 20 msec), and could thus be interpreted as potential target zones for metallic mineral exploration, particularly gold mineralisation. The regions are located in the northern Mararraba and southwest of the Jinsani areas of Kebbi State. The results of integrated geophysical methods have produced updated structural features of the regions, as well as a database containing precise locations, lateral lengths, thicknesses, and depths for prospective gold mineralisation zones. This database could assist the miner/explorer in locating the potential zone of gold mineralisation.

INTRODUCTION
In Nigeria, the majority of gold deposits are found in quartz veins, but there are also gold inclusions in specific ore minerals in a range of metasedimentary and meta-igneous rocks found throughout the different supracrustal schist belt strata (Ramadan and Abduel-Fattah, 2010; Aliyu et al., 2021). Numerous artisanal projects have been undertaken in Nigeria's gold fields, focusing on major gold-quartz reefs and the alluvial deposits (Garba, 2000; Sani et al., 2019; Adetona et al., 2019). To create a database with exact coordinates and locate the zones that contain these gold minerals, geophysical studies are necessary (Augie et al., 2022a). Numerous qualitative and quantitative geophysical studies were carried out in the research area to try and pinpoint potential zones for mineralisation. Aeromagnetic data over the current study area was analyzed by Bonde et al. (2019), Lawali et al. (2020), Augie et al. (2021, 2022a&b) in order to identify structural potential mineralisation zones. The enhancing filters employed in the study include tilt derivatives (TDR), first vertical derivatives (FVD), second vertical...
derivatives (SVD), and analytical signal (AS). The study's findings led to the identification of NE-SW-oriented structures, such as veins and fractures, where economic minerals are typically hosted. However, because the study solely relied on aeromagnetic data obtained from secondary sources, the findings from those literatures requires ground trothing (to confirm what the magnetic surveys found). Comprehensive investigations using 2D electrical resistivity imaging (ERI) and induced polarisation (IP) geophysical techniques were not conducted in order to further investigate the anomalous zones identified by aeromagnetic study.

Aisabokhae (2021) and Lawal et al. (2021) investigated potential mineralisation zones in the Kebbi south using aeromagnetic and aero-radiometric datasets. Reduction to the magnetic equator (RTE), AS, FVD, Euler deconvolution (ED), composite image, ratio maps, and ternary image were the enhancement filtering techniques used in the study. According to the study's conclusions, the Anka schist region is situated on the periphery of a plutonic area that displays brittle-ductile distortion, indicating structural fracture for fluid flow and related alteration brought on by hydrothermal events toward mineralisation in the areas. There are, however, gaps in the literature because the study area is within equatorial zones of low latitude, and the authors did not centralize the anomalies effectively using the magnetic equator. Holden et al. (2008), Core et al. (2009) state that at these regions, an additional amplitude correction is usually necessary to keep the north-south signal in the data from dominating the results. Using in-depth techniques like 2D ERT and IP geophysical methods for the identification of mineralised potential zones, the anomalous zones found in the study were not investigated further.

However, to identify the structures that might support gold mineralisation throughout Kebbi South, Augie et al. (2021, 2022a&b) analyzed the magnetic signatures in conjunction with the local geological context. The research employed the subsequent methodologies: RTE, FVD, SVD, CET, TDR, ED, SPI, and 2D magnetic modeling. The delineation of lineaments such as faults and fractures, particularly shear zones believed to be associated with alteration zones, was made easier by the results of these techniques and is an important aspect of defining gold mineralised prospects according their findings. In comparison related geological setting, the structures identified within it are associated with granite, gneiss, quartz-mica schist, diorite, biotite hornblende granite, and medium coarse-grained granite. These techniques’ outcomes revealed alteration zones that might contain gold. The SE parts of Yelwa (Yauri), Shanga, Magama, Fakai, Rijau, Zuru, Ngaski, and the eastern sections of Bukkuyum and Wasagu/Danko are the locations of these potential zones.

This study used integrated geophysical methods, including aeromagnetic, 2D ERT, and IP techniques, to identify subsurface structures, resistivity, and chargeability signature zones in the study area. The structural features could be important in the exploration of mineral resources, particularly gold minerals. The research began with a reconnaissance survey that used high-resolution aeromagnetic data of the study area to identify suitable structure zones that have the potential to trap mineral resources in the context of the gold exploration target. As a follow-up geophysical technique, the 2D ERT and IP revealed more details on gold mineral zones and other lithological boundaries.

The study Area
The study area lies between latitudes 10°30′0″N and 11°0′0″N and longitudes 4°30′0″E and 5°0′0″E in Kebbi South and some parts of Niger State. The following local government areas (LGAs) are included in the area: Agwara, Ngaski, Shanga, Magama, and the SE portion of Yauri (Figure 1). In terms of geology, the region is located in northwest Nigeria’s basement complex regions. Mangrove swamps, the Pan-African Older Granitoid, the Gwandu Formation, and Nupe Sandstone all underlie the region (Olugbenga and Augie, 2020). According to Figure 1, these included Phyllite, Medium to Coarse-Grained Biotite, Migmatitic Augen Gneiss, Granite Gneiss, Sands, Clays, Fieldspathic, Sandstones, Siltstones, Mangrove Swamps, and Undifferentiated Schists.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Methods

Airborne Magnetic Method

The present study used the aeromagnetic data sheet 117_Yelwa, which covered the study region. The data were obtained from the Nigerian Geological Survey Agency (NGSA). These datasets were gathered between 2005 and 2009 by Fugro Airborne Survey on behalf of the Nigerian government's NGSA. The data were collected at a terrain clearance of 100 meters, with flight lines spaced 500 meters and tie lines spaced 2000 meters, all of which were oriented NW-SE. The maps have a 1:100,000 scale and are half-degree sheeted.

The main/core field was used to remove the geomagnetic gradient (International Geomagnetic Reference Field, IGRF) in order to correct the acquired data. The magnetic anomaly (TMI anomaly) was calculated by subtracting the generated core fields (DGRF for the epoch period) from the grid values (TMI). The magnetised body anomaly, which is usually dependent on the orientation of the body with respect to magnetic north, inclination, declination, and local earth’s field, has been highlighted by reducing the TMI anomaly map to the magnetic equator. These data were further processed, enhanced, and interpreted using first vertical derivative (FVD), analytic signal (AS), and center for exploration targeting (CET) techniques using Geosoft (Oasis Montaj), Arc GIS, and Surfer software.

In order to improve closely spaced resolution and superimposed anomalies, the FVD technique helps to attenuate long-wavelength magnetic anomalies within the field. Major geological structures may be located in these anomalous zones. The linear structures (lineament) that are revealed by CET can define faults, fractures, or shear zones that correspond to mineralisation veins.

2D Geoelectric Prospecting Method

In this study, a 2D geoelectrical survey was also conducted at the Yauri/Ngaski boundaries of Kebbi state in northwest Nigeria. A dipole-dipole configuration with a minimum electrode spacing (a) of 10.00 meters was used to investigate fields covering an area of 640 m². Three profiles were carried out for each 300-meter distance along the profile, oriented NW-SE (Figure 2).

The data were collected with a Super Sting (resistivity meter) connected to an electrode selector and powered by a 12 V battery. This instrument is capable of measuring both apparent resistivity and IP data simultaneously. The distance between the two pairs of current electrodes (C1 and C2) was determined to be the same as the distance between the two potential electrodes. The distance between C1 and P1 (dipole separation factor) was calculated as a multiple of an integer n of the distance between C1-C2 and P1-P2 (Figure 3).
The dipole separation factor \( n \) was first set to one (1), then increased to two (2), three (3), and so on until it reached a maximum of eight (8). Thus, the two parameters, dipole separation factor \( n \) and space between the current electrode pair \( a \), have been measured. The measurements were taken with electrode separation of 10 m and \( n \) set to 1, 2, 3, … 8 (Figure 3). As the \( n \) increases, so does the electrode spacing, and the injected current flows to greater depths (Loke and Barker, 1996; Loke, 2000; Wahyu, 2016).

The initial measurement was performed using electrodes 1, 2, 3, and 4 (C1, C2, P1, and P2). C2 was used at 0 meters, C1 at 10 meters, P1 at 20 meters, and C2 at 30 meters. Similarly, in the second measurement, C2 was at 10 m, C1 at 20 m, P1 at 30 m, and P2 at 40 m. The above steps were repeated along the profile line at 1\( a \), 2\( a \), 3\( a \), 4\( a \), 5\( a \), 6\( a \), 7\( a \), and 8\( a \) intervals between C1 and P1, where \( a \) is 10 m. At each measurement, the ground apparent resistivity and IP values were measured. The obtained data were analyzed using Loke's (1999) RES2DINV program, which automatically generates 2D resistivity and IP models for the subsurface.

Figure 2: Profile line field survey layout

Figure 3: Illustration of dipole-dipole array for the field procedure of this research
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Airborne Magnetic Method Results
This study started off with a reconnaissance survey using the aeromagnetic method. The processing techniques employed were total magnetic intensity reduced to the magnetic equator (TMI-RTE), first vertical derivative (FVD) and center for exploration (CET).

**TMI Result**
Figure 4 depicts the colour image of IGRF, which represents the corrected total magnetic intensity (TMI). The map represents the vector sum of all magnetic field components. Its primary purpose in this study is to reveal the magnetic properties of the various lithological units in the area. The magnetic signatures vary in intensity from low to high. The low magnetic regions have a magnetic susceptibility of 32,917.06 nT (minimum) along the northeast region of the study area, which is located in northern Ngaski, eastern Yauri, and Shanga. High magnetic susceptibility zones of 33,119.0 nT (maximum) exist under the southeastern parts of the area, which are located in the southern part of Agwara, the northern part of Borgu, the eastern part of Ngaski, and the northern part of Magama.

![TMI map of the study area](image)

**RTE Result**
TMI-RTE anomalies are represented by the composite color in Figure 5. High magnetic trend anomalies are indicated by the red or pink anomalies, with values ranging from -636.32 nT to -564.48 nT, which situated in the southwest regions of Shanga and Rijau, these areas are called Yauri. Areas with low magnetic anomalies are displayed in blue or green, and they lie between -734.68 nT and -656.79 nT. NE Shanga, Rijau, and Fakai are corresponding zones. Thus, the magnetic susceptibility of rocks varies depending on the types of rock formations found in high and low regions (Figure 1). At lower depths than the Curie point isotherm, the susceptible rocks are typically found. The high negative values indicate high magnetic susceptibility zones. Figure 3 illustrates, the horizontal component of the Earth's magnetic field polarises all rocks in opposition to their magnetisation and the magnetic equator is a zone of zero inclination. When temporal effects such as the crustal field are subtracted from the measured field, the residual anomaly over magnetic materials is usually negative.
FVD superimposed on CET lineaments Result

Figure 6 shows the FVD map, which attenuates long-wavelength magnetic anomalies within the field, improving closely spaced resolution and superimposed anomalies. The TMI-RTE map in Figure 3 did not previously display these anomalies. Figure 4 illustrates some regular linear structures that can be interpreted as lineaments that indicate fractures, faults, and joints. These lineaments were located in Shanga, Fakai, and Rijau's eastern region.

The resulting lineaments, as shown in Figure 6, are below the magnetic source's edge and may represent faults, fractures, or shear zones—all of which are frequently used as conduits for mineral deposits during hydrothermal processes. The combination of these structures controls the mineralization pattern, particularly in the lithium-bearing pegmatite of the study area. In contrast to the related geological setting, the areas are underlain by granite gneiss, migmatitic augen gneiss, medium to coarse-grained biotite, sands, clays, fieldspathic, and sandstones. These features and formations may act as potential mineral hosts.
Results from 2D Geoelectric Prospecting Techniques

The survey's geological setting influences how the 2D geoelectric modelled sections were interpreted in the research. These include resistivity values from earlier studies conducted in the same basement complex, which were utilised to correlate the findings of the current survey, and the borehole lithology of the southern part of Kebbi, obtained from RUWASA (Rural Water Supply and Sanitation Agency), as indicated in Table 1 (Osazuwa and Chii, 2010).

Table 1: A borehole/lithology log of the southern part of Kebbi areas (SARDA, 1988; Osazuwa and Chii, 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Depth(m)</th>
<th>Thickness(m)</th>
<th>Resistivity range (Ωm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateritic Sand</td>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60-1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Decomposed Schist</td>
<td>2-10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10-500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially Decomposed Granite</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100-1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartzite's and Gneiss</td>
<td>15-21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>200-100000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Geoelectric Sections for Profile 1

The 2D inverse model section for profile 1 is shown in Figure 7(a). It has a lateral distance of 300 meters and it is oriented NW-SE. The profile is located between longitudes of 4°45’14.4”E to 4°45’21.6”E and latitudes of 10°48’3.6”N to 10°47’56.4”N (see Fig. 2). Four distinct zones were used to section the subsurface feature: A1, Z1, A2, and Z2. Zone A1 is defined by resistivity values that range from 1.6 Ωm to 459 Ωm. These values are found on sections of the profile length that are 10-80 m, 190-200 m, and 250-265 m, respectively, and have a depth and thickness of 12.0 m, 18.5-24.9 m, and 7.5-18.5 m. Resistivity values in zone A2 range from 1889 Ωm to 7773 Ωm. This range includes depth/thickness of 8-24.9 m, 3-24.9 m, 24.9 m, 24.8 m, and 7.5 m, as well as distances along the profile of 35-55 m, 70-92 m, 105-130 m, 145-180 m, 200-210 m, and 220-270 m. Conversely, Zone Z1 spans lengths of 30-110 m, 130-149 m, 190-200 m and 245-265 m, and corresponds to depths and thicknesses of 2.5-18.5 m, 24.9 m, 18.5 m and 2.5-18.5 m, in that order. Between 460 to 1888 Ωm
is its resistivity range. Zone Z2, on the other hand, has occupied a length of 100-125 m, 175-190 m, 200-250 m, and 265-290 m, with corresponding depth/thickness of 7.5-24.9 m, 12-24.9 m, 2.5-24.9 m, and 18.5 m, respectively. Its resistivity ranges from 7774 Ωm to 32002 Ωm.

When features A1, Z1, A2, and Z2 are compared to Table 1, the subsurface lithology could consist of lateritic soil, highly decomposed schist, partially decomposed granite and quartzite, and quartzite/gneiss. On the other hand, zone A1's low resistivity regions might be a result of water persisting in the oxidized rock. This type of oxidized rock that comes from rhyolite or granite may host some minerals, especially gold. Granite and quartzite that have partially decomposed into dykes are associated with Zone A2. Finding the gold mineral may depend on the aforementioned rock formation's dyke subsurface structures. The inverse model section's results, which are displayed in Figure 7a, were further processed to create a geologic section, which is depicted in Figure 7b.

Figure 7: (a) Inverse model resistivity section (b) geologic section and (c) inverse model chargeability section for the dataset along profile three (P3)

Figure 7c shows the outcomes of the 2D inverse model chargeability sections along profile 1 (IP1). Zone A3, the area exhibiting metallic minerals, was clearly identified in the sections. These areas have the most notable chargeability values (≥ 20 msec). It covers x-positions of 45-60 m, 190–202 m, and 202-255 m, with corresponding depths and thicknesses of 7.5–18.5 m, 7.5 m, and 12.8–24.9 m. The accumulation of metallic minerals in host rocks is usually the cause of zone A3's higher chargeability. These locations may be investigated as potential targets for the study of particular metallic minerals, especially gold mineralisation.

**Geoelectric Sections for Profile 2**

The result of 2D resistivity Profile 2, which has a lateral extent of 300 m, is displayed in Figure 8a. It is situated between latitudes 10°48’7.2”N and 10°48’0”N and longitudes 4°45’0”E and 4°45’7.2”E, with an orientation of NW-SE (refer to Figure 2). The differences in subsurface resistivity were divided into zones A1, Z1, A2, and Z2. The subsurface variations for these zones are summarised in Table 2 with respect to the area's borehole lithology as provided in Table 1 and the resistivity of common rocks. The main areas of likely gold mineralisation were found to be zones A1 and A2, which are low and high
resistivity values, respectively. The low resistivity zone (A1) may be the product of weathering in an oxidized rock, which is typically composed of granite or rhyolite, based on the geological setting of the research region. It might therefore be connected to specific minerals, especially gold. However, a high resistivity zone (A2) produced the dyke structures connected to partially decomposed granite and quartzite. These structures may play a key role in the identification of mineralisation, especially that of gold. Based on these findings, the geologic section that is shown in Figure 8b was created.

Figure 8: (a) Inverse model resistivity section, (b) geologic section and (c) inverse model chargeability section for the dataset along profile two (P2).

Figure 8 (c) presents the chargeability section results of the 2D inverse model for profile two (IP2). Zone A3, the region with potential for mineralisation, was amply highlighted in the sections of this profile. Because of the concentration of metallic minerals in the host rocks, these areas have a tendency to have higher chargeabilities. The lateral separations and depths that each zone occupies are shown in Table 2. Explicit metallic minerals, especially gold mineralisation, could be studied at these sites in the future.

**Geolectric Sections for Profile 3**

Figure 9a shows the 2D resistivity model section along profile 5. It is located between latitudes 10°47′52.8″N and 10°47′45.96″N and longitudes 4°45′3.6″E and 4°45′10.8″E, that extend a lateral distance of 300 m (refer to Fig. 2). Zones A1, A2, Z1, and Z2 were assigned to the subsurface resistivity signature based on the resistivity of the local geology and the rock formation. Table 2 provides the suggested subsurface lithology for features A1, A2, Z1, and Z2.

Zone A1 relates to oxidized granite/quartzite and gneiss; based on geological contexts and the resistivity of common rocks, it can typically be associated to specific minerals, mostly gold (Table 1). For metallic minerals, Zone A2 is another promising zone that produced the dyke structures attributed to partially decomposed granite and quartzite. These structures could play a key role in identifying mineralised zones, particularly those with gold mineralisation. The profile’s findings led to the development of the geologic section which is shown in Figure 9b.
Figure 9: (a) Inverse model resistivity section, (b) geologic section and (c) inverse model chargeability section for the dataset along profile three (P3)

Chargeability sections along profile three (IP3) are depicted in Figure 9. The sections with the highest chargeability were indicated by Zone A3. The presence of metallic minerals in zones A3 (70 msec and above) may result in higher chargeability. The length and depth of these zones are summarised in Table 2. These regions with the highest chargeability might be thought of as likely potential for gold mineralisation exploration and exploitation.
### Table 2: Summary of results obtained from the integrated methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profile</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Resistivity ranges (Ωm)</th>
<th>Lateral lengths (m)</th>
<th>Depth/Thickness (m)</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Chargeability ranges (msec)</th>
<th>Lateral lengths (m)</th>
<th>Depth/Thickness (m)</th>
<th>Probable gold mineralisation remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1.6 to 459</td>
<td>10-80, 190-200 and 250-265</td>
<td>12.0, 18.5-24.9 and 7.5-18.5</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>≥20</td>
<td>45-60, 190-202 and 202-255</td>
<td>7.5-18.5, 7.5 and 12.8-24.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z1</td>
<td>460 to 1888</td>
<td>30-110, 130-149, 190-200 and 245-265</td>
<td>2.5-18.5, 24.9, 18.5 and 2.5-18.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>1889 to 7773</td>
<td>35-55, 70-92, 105-130, 145-180, 200-210 and 220-270</td>
<td>8-24.9, 3-24.9, 24.9, 24.8, 24.9 and 7.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>7774 to 32002</td>
<td>100-125, 175-190, 200-250 and 265-290</td>
<td>7.5-24.9, 12-24.9, 2.5-24.9 and 18.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>4.6 to 601</td>
<td>5-40, 165-185, 245-260</td>
<td>7.5, 18.5-24.9 and 18.5-24.9</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>20 and above</td>
<td>35-65, 145-160, 200-220 and 221-250</td>
<td>12.8-24.9, 12.8, 18.5, 7.5 and 7.5-24.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z1</td>
<td>602 to 2033</td>
<td>40-90, 120-150, and 155-190</td>
<td>12, 7.5 and 18.5-24.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>2034 to 6873</td>
<td>10-90, 95-120, 140-170, 180-205, 240-270</td>
<td>2.5-12.8, 24.9, 12.8, 12.8 and 18.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>6874 to 23241</td>
<td>10-105, 115-155, 170-180, 200-245, and 270-290</td>
<td>7.5-24.9, 7.5-24.9, 12.8, 24.9 and 18.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>2.99 to 966</td>
<td>5-80, 90-105, 135-160, 170-190, 235-50 and 270-290</td>
<td>7.5, 18.5-24.9, 7.5-18.5, 24.9, 18.5-24.9 and 7.5</td>
<td>A3</td>
<td>70 and above</td>
<td>30-45, 135-150, 140-170 and 210-240</td>
<td>7.5-18.5, 18.5-24.9, 2.5-18.5 and 18.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z1</td>
<td>967 to 4095</td>
<td>10-90, 91-120, 145-180, 190-220 and 240-270</td>
<td>3-7.5, 18.5, 12.8, 24.9, and 18.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>4096 to 17353</td>
<td>120-150, 160-175, 195-210, 220-240 and 250-270</td>
<td>12.8, 18.5-24.9, 12.8-18.5, 19 and 7.5-24.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z2</td>
<td>17354 to 73547</td>
<td>10-90, 110-170 and 220-235</td>
<td>7.5-24.9, 12.8-24.9 and 12.8-18.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Possible Potential Gold Mineralised Zones of the Area**

Zones A1, A2, and A3 are the proposed mineralization potential zones along geoelectric profiles 1, 2, and 3 (P1, P2, and P3 as well as IP1, IP2, and IP3). As seen in Figures 7-9, these regions are distinguished by signatures of high chargeability and low/high resistivity.

The integrated key zones of potential gold mineralisation are shown in Figure 10. These zones may be considered as prospective locations for metallic mineral exploration, specifically in relation to gold mineralisation.

![Figure 10: Major zones of gold mineralisation potentials map](image)

**CONCLUSION**

This study employed integrated geophysical methods with which generated an updated structural features of the regions as well as database with precise locations, lateral lengths, thicknesses, and depths for prospective gold mineralisation zones. This database has the potential to enhance the regions of gold exploration activities. Major structural features identified in previous magnetic studies conducted in the region by Augie et al. (2021) and Augie et al. (2022a&b) were also confirmed by the study. The current study employed an aeromagnetic approach to identify potential gold mineralisation areas within the major structure, or lineament. The results obtained from this approach are consistent with those of prior aeromagnetic studies conducted in the same area. The locations found for structural features are in the northwest of Magama and the eastern portions of Yelwa (Yauri), Ngaski, Shanga, and Agwara. The eastern portions of Ngaski/Yauri have undergone further investigation using 2D ERT and IP detailed geophysical methods, resulting in one of the main lineament zones identified from aeromagnetic data. Zones A1, A2, and A3 are the main areas with potential for gold mineralisation that were identified by the geoelectric method along profiles 1, 2, and 3. These areas may be potential target zones for the exploration of metallic minerals, particularly gold mineralisation, as they exhibit low/high resistivity and chargeability signatures. These regions These regions are located in Kebbi State around Jinsani areas to the southwest of Mararraba’s northern region.
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