

Radiological Safety Assessment of Tailings Extracted from Kaolin Mining Sites in Katsina State



*A. Sani and U. Sani

Department of Physics, Umaru Musa Yar'adua University, Katsina-Nigeria.

*Corresponding author's email: aliyu.saniatiku@umyu.edu.ng Phone: +2348067831783; +2348083885335

ABSTRACT

This research was carried out to sufficiently justify the recent increased awareness and concern for the potential hazards associated NORMs in the environment of the research around Kankara and Dutsin-ma Kaolin mining sites Katsina State; Nine (09) Kaolin samples were collected randomly from the mining sites for the study. The radioactivity analysis was done experimentally using NaI(Tl) gamma spectrometer at Center for energy research and training, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria. The health hazards were evaluated using numerous radiological, Statistical and USEPA models. The overall hazard index (HI) was found to be 1.496609 for children found in third sampling point of Yar-goje are; it is the only possibility of a children to be affected while 0.799486 for adults, this value was below the USEPA threshold limit for non-carcinogenic risks of 1.0 indicating that the exposed population ages are unlikely to experience any adverse non-carcinogenic risks. The radiological parameters obtained are ^{226}Ra (^{238}U), ^{232}Th and ^{40}K of a NORMs with activity concentration of is 100.889, 0.129 and 224.040 (Bqkg^{-1}) in the soil samples, at the studied area. Absorbed frequency in air measured in nGy/h by one-meter directly above the crushed, was considered, since the forbidding radioactivity concentrations is obtained as 77.575 while the total annual effective dose (AED) in mSv/y had average value of 0.099 in soil. The values obtained for external and internal radiological hazard indices H_{ext} and H_{int} are 0.471 and 0.741 in soil; which were lower than the UNSCEAR suggested boundary limit of unity. Lastly, the overall excess lifetime cancer menace owed to NORMs, stood as $5.63\text{E}-06$ and $4.15\text{E}-06$ for whole populations indicating that (a maximum of 6 people/1 million may be affected) for adults while (a maximum of 4 people /1 million may be affected) for children. These values were within the USEPA threshold of $1\text{E}-04$.

Keywords:

Norms,
Gamma Spectrometer,
Carcinogenic,
Radiological,
Hazard Indices.

INTRODUCTION

Kaolin, is a mineral resource with significant deposit in Nigeria, it has been found very useful in several aspects of industrial, construction, agriculture and pharmaceutical activities. It has been known to be useful in plastic making, paper production, cosmetics and toothpastes. It is also a part of the constituents used in the production of ceramics, porcelain, cement and some medical items. In recent times, Kaolinite clay types have shown cost effectiveness when used as pozzolanic additives in cement and concrete [Aras et al., (2007; Yanguatin et al., (2017)]. In the agriculture sector, it has become reputable in the production of insect repellent and sunburn averting sprays for plant protections.

In Nigeria, mining of kaolin deposit is common without consideration for the heavy metal component and possible heightened background radiation present in

them and the possible health risks posed to miners, residence of communities around mining sites, users of Kaolin products and the environment. Mining and processing of radionuclide bearing minerals can enhance the levels of radiation exposure to the workers and inhabitants in such locations (Adagunodo *et al.*, 2019). While individuals are uncovered to radionuclides over assimilation and breath via internal exposure, and irradiation by external spectrum emitted, since the radionuclide via external revelation is also a possibility. Furthermore, exposure to heavy metals, occurs as they enter into the human bodies via consumption of food, drinking water and air; subsequently bio-accumulating. Mining has been identified as one of the potential sources of exposure to Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials (NORMs) (UNSCEAR, 2000). Elevated levels of radionuclides around mining sites, considered

as Technological Enhanced Naturally Radioactive Materials (TENORM) have been reported by [Usikalu et al., (2018); Omeje et al., (2019) and Adagunodo et al., (2019)]. These studies have provided measurements of the naturally occurring radionuclides with the aim of determining the distribution of these radionuclides on the mining field and estimation of the gamma index risk exposure to the miners on the field (Kaniu *et al.*, 2018). Two main contributions on natural radiation exposure, are; high-energy cosmic ray particles incident on the earth's atmosphere, and radioactive nuclides originating from the earth crust which are present everywhere in the environment, including the human body (UNSCEAR, 2000).

However, mining companies stand often not regulated for heavy metals and for NORMs in most countries including Nigeria. In many cases, adjoining the unclear implementable strategies designed by the Environmental and Radiation Protection agencies. With current increase in consciousness of the probable exposure of NORMs. While the International Basic Safety Standards (BSS) for safety contrary to ionizing radiation, while the safety of such sources specify by the international basic requirements for the safety of health and environment (IAEA, 1986), the BSS-based recommendations on International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP, 2007) also provides a focus on rule of practice and involvement is applied on natural besides artificial sources of radiation within the environment and their consequences on living or non-living species.

In Nigeria, 800 million tons of quantified probable and proven deposits of the occurrence of kaolin, has been verified in many parts of this country with precise abundant bonds are: Anambra, Bauchi, Borno, Ekiti, Enugu, Kaduna, Katsina, Plateau, Ogun Ondo, Oyo and Sokoto states of up to (RMRDC, 2010). In the last two decades, interest in quantification of NORM in Kaolin samples has led to many studies by several scholars including [Atanda et al., (2012); Akinyemi et al., (2014); Badmus & Olatinsu (2009), and Talabi et al., (2012)]. These studies adopted different methods and processes to assess the environmental and health implication of Kaolin mining and uses. Investigations are often carried out by different workers on separate sample for NORM in the same region while the spatial, particularly the depth, dimension are not considered in any of the study. In this present research effort, NORMs have been assessed from the same sample to determine the overall health and environmental impact of Kaolin mining from some mining location in Katsina. The study also includes a depth investigation to determine the relationship of the radionuclide with the depth of mining.

Kaolin and sawdust were mixed by ratio of 1:1, while quality insulating fire bricks has been produced (Malu & Babson, 2007). Due to its optical suitability and such

properties including lightness, low viscosity, and no abrasiveness, precise particle sizes, plane hexagonal plates (Murray, 2010), chemical inertness and inexpensiveness compared to other minerals (Aderiye *et al.*, 2014), kaolin is mostly used in paper industry as a casing pigment and filler (Iyasara et al., 2014) for increasing brightness, opacity and giving the desired finish. It is also significantly used as an old-style raw material in ceramic industry to produce white and sanitary ware, and household tools; giving the ware a smooth surface finish, and electrical insulation (Benea & Gorea, 2004). As an extender dye providing colors, kaolin can also used in rubber and plastic industry; as protected for the temporary release of real itching to disappear rash, it is used in the pharmaceutical industries; and as an insect repellent against arthropods that affect crops, it is used in agriculture. It is also a major component of many cosmetic product including toothpastes. All these uses are characterized by very close human contact and interaction often without consideration for the radionuclide that may be present in the mined kaolin. These are apart from the fact that the mining process in itself may expose miners to heightened levels of radiation or the possibility of technological enhancement of NORM as a result of mining technology. It is further noted that kaolin and clay mineral deposits contains quartz, and lasting exposure which may result in chronic bronchitis, lung cancer, pulmonary emphysema, and silicosis in humans nevertheless found to be lesser amount of toxicity to an aquatic Organisms. The radionuclide quantification of kaolin collected within Kankara and Dutsin-ma LGA of Katsina State will be carried out using NaI(Tl) Gamma Spectrometry respectively. The expected results from the study will provide the required activity concentration of the NORM present in Kaolin from the mining sites. The samples were spread on cardboard sheets and all foreign materials were removed. They were then dried at Room temperature for about 24 hours and then grinded using a manual motor into fine powder and sieved using 2 mm sieve. The homogenized samples were filled into 25g cylindrical plastic containers (7.0 cm diameter by 7.0 cm height) which were hermetically sealed with the aid of PVC tape, Vaseline and candle wax to prevent the escape of airborne ^{222}Rn and ^{220}Rn from the samples. The dimensions of the plastic containers were chosen in such a way that it suited the optimal soil mass of 350g for analysis of bulk samples. The study is sufficiently justified by the recent increased awareness and concern for the potential hazards associated NORMs in the environment which has resulted in implementation of new guidelines devoted to natural source of radiations in national legislations (Faanu, 2011). In many developing countries including Nigeria, regulatory control has been based on radionuclide meditations found in residues of underdone

materials, waste watercourses and municipal exposures are inadequate (Darko et al, 2005; Darko & Faanu, 2007). Subsequently, nearby is lack of awareness and general knowledge of the radiological threats and experience levels by legislators, managers and operatives. Additionally, the dust from the mining processes may consist of toxic heavy metals and natural radionuclides with varying concentrations that may further concentrate the natural existence of these elements and radionuclides in soil around the mine. The study extends to cover all relevant three main primordial radionuclide ^{40}K , ^{232}Th and ^{238}U . The

coverage also includes isotopic studies using NaI(Tl) Gamma Spectroscopy whole NORMs has been considered.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Materials

This study is limited to 9 samples each for isotopic studies taken from three mining sites across two local government areas of Katsina state.

Table 2.1 presents the material used for the different stages of the study and their function with respects to the different stages of the research effort.

Table 1: Stages of Research, Materials used and their Details and Functions

STAGE	MATERIAL	DETAILS/ FUNCTION
SAMPLE COLLECTION FROM MINES	Hoe	Digging Kaolin Sample from the earth crust
	Global Positioning System (GPS)	Locating point and taking coordinate at the sampling location
	Shovel	Collection of dug samples
	Polythene bag	Packaging collected sample at the collection point
	Plastic bucket	Packaging the whole sample at one point for easy movement
	Measuring Tape up-to 50ft (15m) Touch light	Measuring the depth of each sampling point Providing illumination inside the dug mining well
SAMPLE PREPARATION FOR NORM	Electronic balance	For weighing the sample
	Crusher	For grinding into a powder
	Masking tape	Used for labeling the sample
	Rubber container	Cylindrical container of 7 by 7 diameters in dimension to suit detector geometry
	PVC Gum	Used for sealing the container to avoid escape of a Radon Gases
	Permanent Marker	Labelling the rubber containers containing the samples for identification
NORM ANALYSIS	Candle Wax	Used to supplement PVC Gum in sealing the container
	Vaseline	For filling and perfection sealing
	NaI(Tl) Detector	A detector used for quantifying radioactivity in samples
DATA ANALYSIS	MCA	Used to analyze the input signal from the detector
	Lead shield	Pre World War Lead limiting detection of background radiation and noise
DATA ANALYSIS	MS Office Excel	Used in statistical and theoretical analysis of acquired data

Other non-technical materials of no significant scientific consequence used in the research include; PC laptop computer, printer and paper for word processing and production of hard copies of the study reports.

Method

In this section the procedures for the study are capture to include procedure for sample collection, sample preparation for Gamma Spectroscopy, procedure for

Na(Tl) Gamma Spectrometry and the procedure for data analysis. The procedures are mostly captured as steps in chronological sequential order so as to present a clear idea of how the study were achieved in sequence with respect to achieving the overall aim of the study.

Procedure for Sample Collection from Mine

The collection of the sample locations was purposively carried out based on availability of the proximity of the

mine site to civic society. The selection approach adopted for the sample's assortment was haphazardly in line with [ASTM 1986 & 1983; IAEA 2004. and USEPA 1989]. Nine (09) soil samples were collected within three different mining locations. The Nine Kaolin samples were collected from three different locations with three samples collected from each depth of 5, 15 and 25m in the mine well. The specific sample location is as indicated in Fig 1 from Sambisa-Danmarke and

Dajin Gwamna-Yar'goje in Kankara Local Government Area and Farar Kasar Boto - Garfi/Haukan Zama in Dutsinma Local Government Area of Katsina State. All samples were labelled at the point of collection while their coordinates are read and recorded respectively using Global Positioning System (GPS). Fig. 2 presents also the map of Katsina state showing the two Local Government Areas where the samples were collected.



Figure 1(a, b, and c): GPS showing (a) First Sampling Point at Sambisa area of Dan-marke Village; Kankara LGA (Elevated at an altitude of 168m (1978ft), Latitude $11^{\circ}53'42''$ N and Longitude $7^{\circ}36'31''$ E) (b) Second Sampling Point at Dajin Gwamna-Yar'goje Village of Kankara LGA (Elevated at an altitude of 1980ft (168m), Latitude $11^{\circ}52'37''$ N and Longitude $7^{\circ}26'27''$ E) (c) Third Sampling Point at Farar Kasar Boto-Garfi/Haukan Zama in Dutsin-ma LGA (Elevated at an Altitude of 51.2m (1102ft), latitude $12^{\circ}24'15''$ N and Longitude $7^{\circ}26'46''$ E).




Figure 2: Map of Katsina, showing the Two Different Local Government of Dutsin-ma and Kankara, Katsina State in Relation to the Scope of the Study.

The nine samples were then re-bagged after removing foreign materials while drying and labeled, then set to the designated laboratory for NORMs Analysis using NaI(Tl) detector. Each collected sample remained sealed in a polyethylene sack, tied firmly to evade cross contamination before labelling. Nine samples collected and moved to the laboratory at the Centre for Energy Research and Training, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria for Gamma spectrometry.

It should be noted that for each sampling point, samples of about 1kg was collected and put in well labeled polythene bag consistent with procedure adopted in Kolo (2014) while the three samples per location were collected by stratified random sampling with the first sample at a depth of 5 m from the surface, the second sample at a depth of 15 m and lastly from the depth of 25 m considering the possibility of different weathering processes at different depth for minerals respectively.

Sample Preparation for Gamma Spectroscopy

The samples remained wrapped and stockpiled for 40 days on the way to allow secular equilibrium to be obtained ^{232}Th and ^{238}U and their progeny of radon daughters nuclei. The IAEA reference materials for gamma spectrometry (RGK-1, IAEA-448 and RGTh-1) was prepared in an equivalent manner of the samples. At secular equilibrium, it is expected that the activity of each radionuclide in a given series is equal to the activity of the nuclide that heads the series, thus the parent nuclide.

Procedure for NaI(Tl) Gamma Spectrometry

Firstly, the detector was calibrated for both energy and efficiency consideration as prepared by IAEA reference resources of RGK-1, IAEA-448 and RGTh-1 for reckonable determination of ^{40}K , ^{238}U and ^{232}Th respectively in the soil samples.

Each of the samples were then measured separately for more than eight (8.33) hours counting period before obtaining the result. The NaI(Tl) detector situated at low Background radiation Laboratory of the Center for Energy Research and Training, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria was used to analyze the sample collected. This detector has the thickness of 6 cm lead shield, and cadmium lined assemblage of copper slips for background radiation detection. The resolution pulse of the detector is about 0.25 s, while the combined preamplifier with 1kV external source is used for high counts, which is coupled with a computer-based multichannel analyzer, with program installed called (*Maestro*) of (ORTEC=-1656) for the analysis, and acquisition of gamma spectra.

Each of prepared samples were counted up to 30,000 sec (8.33 periods) within detector geometry to ease the influence of circumstantial radiation from radioactive impurities enclose the radiation shielding detector assembly. Data obtained found to be measured in counts per second, and then transformed to the conventional units of Bq/kg using calibration factors of activity concentration for ^{226}Ra (^{238}U), ^{232}Th and ^{40}K .




Figure 3: The NaI(Tl) Gamma Spectrometry Set Up at the Radiation Biophysics Laboratory, CERT, ABU Zaria

Procedure for Data Analysis

MS Office Excel was used as the principal data analysis tool for the study based on its accessibility, simplicity and the availability of sufficient hands-on guide for the coding necessary for all the calculation and data analysis of interest in the quantification of NORM, determination of their hazard parameter and indices and in the comparative analysis.

MS Office Excel was also employed in the graphical representation of data and comparative analysis carried out in the study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Activity Concentration of the NORMs determined using NAI(TI) gamma spectroscopic counts and discussed in relevant health and hazard indices with depth dependence.

Result of Activity Concentration of Norm from NAI(TI) Gamma Spectrometry

The activity concentration for ^{238}U , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K has been determined from gamma spectrometry

measurement using NaI(TI) set up. The measured Activity Concentration (NC_i), determined in Count Per Seconds (CPS) and converted to Activity Concentration in BqKg^{-1} (At) was done using the semi empirical equation (1) for ^{238}U , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K .

Activity concentration of Samples (A) in Bqkg^{-1} is given

$$(A) = \frac{\text{NC}_i}{\epsilon \times Y_i \times T \times M} = \frac{\text{NC}_i}{M} \times \frac{1}{\text{CVF}} \times \text{CF} \quad (1)$$

Where:

NC_i = Net peak after subtraction of background of the gamma ray line at energy E.

ϵ = Detector efficiency of gamma ray line at photo peak energy E.

Y_i = Emission probability of the gamma ray photons of energy, E under consideration.

T = Time of measurement in seconds.

M = Mass of sample in g.

CF = Calibration Factor = 10^{-4}

CVF = Conversion Factor uniquely determined for ^{238}U , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K and presented in Table 3.1 below.

Table 2: Calibration factor for NORM at CERT NaI(TI) Facility

NORM TYPE	CALIBRATION FACTOR (CF)
$^{238}\text{U}/^{226}\text{Ra}$	0.00208600
^{232}Th	0.00211942
^{40}K	0.00155400

Also, the Mass in g for the 9 samples measured are reported in Table 3.2 where W_c (g) is the Weight of empty container in grams, W_{c+s} (g) is the Weight of

container filled with sample in grams and W_s (g) is the actual weight of sample determined using Equation (2)

$$W_s = W_{c+s} - W_c \quad (2)$$

Table 3: Mass of Sample

SAMPLE ID	W_c (g)	W_{c+s} (g)	W_s (g)
Y01	26.26	281.11	254.85
Y02	31.57	229.73	198.16
Y03	28.21	282.22	254.01
S01	22.06	291.46	269.40
S02	44.59	272.47	227.88
S03	36.19	287.14	250.95
G01	33.62	300.61	266.99
G02	26.71	258.80	232.09
G03	32.53	265.60	233.07

The Activity Concentration A, for the nine Kaolin Samples, as seen in the Appendix, ranged from $133.31 \pm 1.88 \geq A_{235\text{U}} \geq 81.50 \pm 2.72 \text{ Bq/Kg}$ for ^{235}U while for

^{232}Th the values ranged as $83.87 \pm 2.01 \geq A_{232\text{Th}} \geq 23.79 \pm 3.07 \text{ Bq/Kg}$ and for ^{40}K it ranged as $1.53 \pm 0.02 \geq A_{40\text{K}} \geq 1.16 \pm 0.02 \text{ Bq/Kg}$.




Figure 4: Activity Concentration of Samples of Mined Kaolin

Figure 4: presents the calculated Activity Concentration (C) for ^{238}U , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K for the 9 samples. Equation (1) with Table 3.1 and Table 3.2 have been used for the determination of data for the figure 4.

From Figure 4: it can be clearly observed that the Activity Concentration or Specific Activity A for ^{235}U is significantly the most important to be considered for the Kaolin samples with a value of $A_{\text{Mean}} [^{235}\text{U}] \pm A_{\text{SD}}$ of 100.05 ± 16.97 Bq/Kg. The maximum value of the Activity Concentration measured, $A_{\text{Max}} [^{235}\text{U}]$ as can be seen in Figure 4 is 133.31 Bq/Kg from the Garfi mine at depth of 15.0 meters while the minimum $A_{\text{Min}} [^{235}\text{U}]$ is 81.45 Bq/Kg from the Sambisa mine at depth of 25.0 Meters. The distribution of the measurements around the mean is determined using the Standard Error (SE) and found to be $\text{SE} [^{235}\text{U}] = 1.89$, a value that indicate moderate uniform distribution of ^{235}U across the nine different mines.

Furthermore, it is observed that A for ^{232}Th follows ^{235}U in significance in its importance as NORM in the Kaolin samples with a value of $A_{\text{Mean}} [^{232}\text{Th}] \pm A_{\text{SD}}$ of 51.82 ± 20.87 Bq/Kg. The maximum value of the Activity Concentration measured, $A_{\text{Max}} [^{232}\text{Th}]$, as can be seen in Figure 4 is 83.87Bq/Kg from the Garfi mine at depth of

25.0 Meters while the minimum $A_{\text{Min}} [^{232}\text{Th}]$ is 23.79Bq/Kg from the Sambisa mine at depth of 25.0 Meters. The distribution of the measurements around the mean is determined using the Standard Error (SE) and found to be $\text{SE} [^{232}\text{Th}] = 2.32$ a value that indicates a limited uniform distribution of ^{232}Th across the nine different mines.

Also, A for ^{40}K provides the least significance in its importance as NORM in the Kaolin samples with a value of $A_{\text{Mean}} [^{40}\text{K}] \pm A_{\text{SD}}$ of 1.34 ± 0.11 Bq/Kg. $A_{\text{Max}} [^{40}\text{K}]$, as can be seen in Figure 4 is 1.54Bq/Kg from the Garfi mine at depth of 25.0 Meters, while $A_{\text{Min}} [^{40}\text{K}]$ is 1.16Bq/Kg from the Garfi mine at depth of 5.0 Meters. The distribution of the measurements around the mean is determined using the Standard Error (SE) and found to be $\text{SE} [^{40}\text{K}] = 0.01$ a value that indicates a very high uniformity of the distribution of ^{40}K across the nine different mines studied.

The analysis of the activity concentration contribution of norms with respect to locations and depth can be further highlighted with Figure 5 which presents the contribution of NORM as a function of the combination of both location and depth.




Figure 5: Contribution of NORM With Respect to Location and Depth

From the bar chart, the five most significant contributions to the measured activity concentration are noted as:

- i. ^{238}U from Garfi mine at a depth of 15m, from Yargoje mine at a depth of 15m, from Garfi mine at a depth of 25m, from Yargoje mine at a depth of 25m and from Sambisa mine at a depth of 5m.
- ii. ^{232}Th from Garfi mine at a depth of 25meters, from Garfi mine at a depth of 15m, from Sambisa mine at depth of 15m, from Yargoje at a depth of 5m and from Yargoje at a depth of 5m.
- iii. ^{40}K from Garfi mine at a depth of 25m, Sambisa mine at a depth of 25m, Yargoje mine at depth of 15m, Sambisa mine at a depth of 5 m and Yargoje mine at a depth of 5m.

The distribution of five most significant NORM Activity Concentrations indicates distinction in the radionuclidic features of the different locations. This distribution may be indicative of geological or meteorological factors. Anthropological factors as causes for the distinction in distribution are ruled out because of the similarity of the human activities taking place in all the 3 locations which started about the same time. Wide variation in Activity Concentrations has been noted to be a spatial distribution measured due to anthropogenic activities, soil types, and other natural factors of the radionuclides in different study samples and also indicative of the impact of geo-chemical as well as physical process on accumulated radionuclides (Emelue and Isinkaye 2015). Most of the samples present a high Activity Concentration of ^{238}U as a result of solubility and mobility of Uranyl from $^{226}\text{Ra}/^{238}\text{U}$ chain while that of ^{232}Th may be as a result of its extremely slow decay rate due to its very long half-life

of 14 billion years (UNSCEAR, 2000). For ^{40}K , the very low Activity Concentration may be due to low content of monazite in Kaolin mining area (Orgun et al., 2007). It should be noted that, monazite, a primarily reddish-brown phosphate mineral containing rare-earth elements, is indicative of radioactive elements such as ^{40}K with high natural radioactivity (Singh et al., 2007). Meanwhile, Garfi mine appears to provide the most significant indicator for both ^{238}U and ^{232}Th followed by Yargoje mine and least indicator provided by the Sambisa mine. Meanwhile, the most significant indicator for ^{40}K appears to be provided by Sambisa mine followed closely by Yargoje mine with the least indicator from Garfi mine despite recording the highest value of Activity Concentration at 25 m depth.

Furthermore, these distinctions in distribution may also imply the need for unique approaches for each site with respect to the domestic, cosmetic and medical uses of the mined Kaolin.

In Figure 6, the graphical plot of the depth dependence of the Activity Concentration from the different mines is presented from 5m to 25 m. The study presumes a uniform depth distribution of the samples within a 10 m range such that measurement at 5 m represent 0 – 10 m depth, at 15 m represent 10 – 20 m depth and 25 m represent 20 – 30 m range it should be noted that the depth of the noted depth of the 3 mine locations are within the range of 30 ± 2 m.

From Figure 6, it can be observed that there is no uniform depth distribution of Activity Concentration from $^{226}\text{Ra}/^{238}\text{U}$, ^{232}Th and ^{40}K . This implies that the depth of mining does not determine the quantity of NORMs present in mined Kaolin sample. From this study, it can be inferred that the radiation safety and processing requirement for domestic, medical, cosmetic

and construction uses of mined Kaolin may not be a function of the depth of mining. As such, specific

sample from different depths will have to be treated uniquely even when they are form the same mining site.




Figure 6: Activity Concentration as a Function of Depth of Mining

While studies have shown downward migration rates of fallout radionuclide in soil layers as estimated from depth profiles and historical fallout patterns of the radionuclides using a least-square fitting method. Migration rates of some radionuclides were found to be $0.1-0.6 \text{ cmyr}^{-1}$ for each layer up to 13 cm deep Iyogi et al., (2004); the present study provides no data from which such inference can be made and reveal no indication a constant direct or inverse dependence of the Activity Concentration of radionuclide with depth of mining.

Determination of Health Hazard Parameters from Norm

Health hazard parameters of consequences resulting from the measurement of NORM from mined Kaolin have been determined for each of the mining location and depths. The parameters determined includes the Absorbed Dose Rate (D_R), Radium Equivalent (Ra_{eq}) External Hazard Index (H_{ext}), Internal Hazard Index

(H_{int}), Outdoor Annual Effective Dose equivalent ($AEDE_O$), Indoor Annual Effective Dose Equivalent ($AEDE_I$), Total Annual Effective Dose Equivalent, Annual Gonadal Dose Equivalent (AGDE), Gamma Level Index (I_γ), Activity Utilization Index (AUI), and Exposure Rate (ER), as well as Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk (ELCR).

Furthermore, analysis of the probable health impact of the various parameters is presented based on the global limit references considering safety standards.

Absorbed Dose Rate

Figure 7 present the absorbed dose rate determined for the 9 mine Kaolin samples plotted with the recommended limit of 84.00 nGyhr^{-1} as standard above which the absorbed dose rate value is considered excessive. As it has been reported for sediment, the contribution of natural radionuclides to the absorbed dose rates depends on the concentrations of various

radionuclides in the mined sample (Erenturk et al, 2014).

The range of the calculated absorbed dose in mined Kaolin sites is given as $52.09 \pm 3.85 - 107.74 \pm 74$

$nGyhr^{-1}$ with a mean value of $77.57 \pm 18.18 nGyhr^{-1}$. The average absorbed dose rate in this study is higher than the worldwide average value of $84.00 nGyhr^{-1}$ reported by UNSCEAR (2000).




Figure 7: Absorbed Dose Rate for the Different Samples Compared to Standard Limits

From Figure 7, Absorbed Dose Rate (D_R) for three namely Garfi mine at a depth of 15m, Garfi mine at a depth of 25m and Sambisa mine at a depth of 5m are observed to exceed the recommended UNSCEAR limit while the average of the study is 0.92 times less than the recommended limit.

It can be concluded that, while, generally, the energy deposited locally in any absorbing media such as the human body per hour by Kaolin from the selected mining site is below the recommended limit, specific concern should be exercised for Garfi and Sambisa mines especially at depth below 10 m. This could be includes the use of enhanced radiation protection gear

and reduction of the extent of time spent at depth below 10m in the mines.

Radium Equivalent Activity and External and Internal Hazard Indices

Radium Equivalent Activity (Ra_{eq}) is determine within the context the activity concentration of a radionuclide equivalent to $370Bq kg^{-1}$ of ^{226}Ra , which gives outdoors an external effective dose rate of 1.5mGy (1mSv) per year. It is often used as a relative measure of the gamma-ray exposure rates and therefore external exposure risk associated with ^{226}Ra vs. ^{232}Th vs. ^{40}K .




Figure 8: Radium Equivalent Activity for the Different Samples Compared to Standard Limits

In Figure 8, Ra_{eq} in $BqKg^{-1}$ is presented for the nine Kaolin samples studied from the three mining sites. The Range of the determined Ra_{eq} value for the study is given as $115 \pm 8.70 - 242.54 \pm 5.90 BqKg^{-1}$ with a mean of $174.25 \pm 41.34 BqKg^{-1}$.

These values reported are all below the recommended limits of $370 BqKg^{-1}$ and it is noted that Ra_{eq} for the nine samples are within the acceptable limit of less than $370 BqKg^{-1}$ as recommended by UNSCAER (2010). The mean value is observed to be 0.47 times less than the recommended limit.

It can thus be concluded that; there is no significant risk of hazard when the samples are used for construction purposes or found in building materials with the attendant possibility of respiratory tract doses to the

inhabitants of the structure due to the external gamma dose and the internal alpha dose from Radon and its progeny through the decay of Radium. This is based on the assumption of an annual exposure limit of $1mSvy^{-1}$ for which $370 BqKg^{-1}$ of ^{226}Ra , $259 BqKg^{-1}$ of ^{232}Th , and $4810 BqKg^{-1}$ of ^{40}K all produce the same gamma-ray dose rate (Beretka and Matthew 1985).

Furthermore, the related concept of Internal and External Hazard Indices, H_{int} and H_{ext} , have been calculated to approximate the relative risk of Radium vs. Thorium vs. Potassium from inhalation of alpha particles emitted from the short-lived radionuclides of Radon as it applies to the safe use of Kaolin as construction and building materials for dwellings (Righi and Bruzzi 2006).




Figure 9: External and Internal Hazard Indices for the Different Samples Compared to Standard Limits

Generally, however, since the mean for the H_{ext} is 0.47 times less and that of H_{int} is 0.53 time less than recommended limits, Kaolin cannot be classified as significantly contribution to both the external and internal exposure to carcinogenic radon and its short-lived progeny.

Annual Dose Equivalents

The Annual Dose Equivalents from Kaolin from Kanakara and Dutsima LGA of Katsina State has been assessed by determining the Outdoor Annual Effective Dose Equivalent ($AEDE_o$), Indoor Annual Effective Dose Equivalent ($AEDE_i$), Total Annual Effective Dose Equivalent ($AEDE_t$), and the Annual Gonadal Dose Equivalent (AGDE).




Figure 10: Annual Dose Equivalents for the Different Samples Compared to Standard Limits

The $AEDE_o$ and $AEDE_i$ are indices which measure the risk of stochastic and deterministic effects on individuals exposed to radiation as a result of outdoor and indoor irradiation sources respectively. The $AEDE_t$ is the sum of the contribution of both the outdoor and indoor measures and gives an overall assessment of the risk on individuals exposed indoor or outdoor. Also, the $AGDE$ provides a measure of the risk to the gonads, the active bone marrow and the bone surface cells which are considered organs which experiences significant consequences when exposed to radiation beyond acceptable limits.

From the study, the range of value determined for the $AEDE_o$ is $63.92 \pm 4.72 - 132.22 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$ with mean of $95.20 \pm 22.31 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$ which is 1.38 times higher when compared to the global average of $70.00 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$. The range of $AEDE_i$ is $255.66 \pm 18.88 - 528.89 \pm 12.65 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$ with mean of $380.81 \pm 89.22 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$ which is

multiply by 1.08 less when compared with the world average of $410.00 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$. Also, $AEDE_t$ which is the sum of $AEDE_o$ and $AEDE_i$ is within the range $319.58 \pm 23.60 - 661.11 \pm 15.82 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$ with mean of $476.14 \pm 111.53 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$ which is 1.09 times less when compared to the global average of $520.00 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$ and 2.10 times less than the criterion limit of $1000 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$.

Furthermore, for the $AGDE$, the range of value determined is $351.73 \pm 26.10 - 132.22 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$ with mean of $521.16 \pm 139.70 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$ which is 1.74 times higher when compared to the global average of $300.00 \mu Sv yr^{-1}$.

Also, Gamma Utilization Index, Activity Utilization Index, Exposure rate and the Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk have been evaluated and presented in Figure 4.10, 4.11 and 4.12 all indicating need for enhanced radiation protection from Kaolin




Figure 11: Gamma and Activity Utilization Indices for the Different Samples Compared to Standard Limits




Figure 12: Exposure Rate of Different Samples Compared to Standard Limits




Figure 13: Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk for the Different Samples Compared to Standard Limits

CONCLUSION

The main objectives of the research are to determine the activity concentration of relevant NORMs in Kaolin extracted from Kankara and Dutsinma Mining sites in order to quantify the actual Concentration of ^{238}U via the ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K . The Internal and External Hazard indices obtained is within the range of 0.31 ± 0.02 to 0.66 ± 0.02 for the external Hazard indices (H_{ext}) while for Internal Hazard Indices ranges from (H_{int}) 0.53 ± 0.04 to 1.02 ± 0.02 with the average mean of 0.47 ± 0.02 and 0.74 ± 0.03 , the average value obtained for both are less than unity, but a single value from H_{int} is above the range as its greater than Unity value at Garfi mining site which is exactly at the depth of 15 m; it shows that, a serious measure is needed on the workers as well as the dwellers within since the limited exposure is above the recommended value of the sites. This research summarized the major findings and presents the results obtained. It also focused on the measurement of the NORM in mined Kaolin for two LGA in Katsina State named Kankara and Dutsinma. Nine samples were collected and analyzed using NaI(Tl) Gamma Spectrometry methods.

REFERENCES:

Adagunodo T.A., Sunmonu L.A., Adabanija M.A., Omeje M., Odetunmibi O.A., Ijeh V. (2019). Statistical Assessment of Radiation Exposure Risks of Farmers in Odo Oba, Southwestern Nigeria. Bulletin of the Mineral Research and Exploration, <http://dx.doi.org/10.19111/bulletinofmre.495321>

Adagunodo T.A., Sunmonu L.A., Adabanija M.A., Suleiman E.A., Odetunmibi O.A. (2017). Geoexploration of Radioelement's Datasets in a Flood Plain of Crystalline Bedrock. Data in Brief, 15C: 809 – 820. Published by Elsevier. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2017.10.046>

Addo, M. A., Darko, E. O., Gordon, C., Nyarko, B. J. B., (2013). A Preliminary Study of Natural Radioactivity Ingestion from Cassava Grown and Consumed by Inhabitants Around a Cement Production Facility in the Volta region, Ghana. *International Journal Of Environmental Sciences*.3(6).

Ademola J.A. (2005) Radionuclide content of concrete building blocks and radiation dose rates in some dwellings in Ibadan, Nigeria, *J. Environ. Radioact.*81, 107-113.

Ademola J.A., Ayeni A.A. (2010) Measurement of natural radionuclides and dose assessment of granites from Ondo State, Nigeria, *Radioprotection* 45, 515-521.

Alan, M. and Samuel, A. (1992). *An Introduction to Radiation Protection*. London, New York pp. 6-9.

Al-Hamarneh, I. F and Awadallah, M.I. (2009). Soil Radioactivity Levels and radiation Hazard Assessment in the highlands of Northern Jordan. *Radiation measurement* 44, 102-11.

- Amadi, A. N., Ebieme, E. E., Musa, A., Olashinde, P. I., Ameh, I. M. And Shuaibu, A. M (2017). Utility of pollution indices in assessment of soil quality around Madaga gold mining site, Niger state, north-central Nigeria. *Ife Journal of Science*. 19 (2): 417-430.
- ASTM. (1986). Recommended practice for investigation and sampling soil and rock for engineering purposes, In: Annual Book of ASTM Standards; (04/08), American Society for Testing Materials, Report No. D, 420 (PA: ASTM).
- Avwiri, G.O., Ononugbo, C.P., Nwokeoji, I.E., (2014). Radiation Hazard Indices and Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk in Soil, Sediment and Water Around Mini-Okoro/Oginigba Creek, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. *Comprehensive Journal of Environment and Earth Sciences*. 3(1), pp. 38-50. ISSN-2315-7488
- Awodugba, A., Abioye, A., Adekunle, D., Ologun, O., Oyekunle, I., Oni, O., and Tchokossa, P., (2011). Basement Data of the Terrestrial Radionuclide Level of Abuja Federal Capital Territory, (FCT), Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Protection*. 2(1) pp 359-364.
- Banzi, F.P., Kifanga, L.D. and Bundala, F.M. (2000). Natural radioactivity and radiation exposure at the Minjingu Phosphate mine in Tanzania. *Journal of Radiological Protection*. 20: 41-51.
- Cember, H (1996): *Introduction to health physics*. Mc Graw Hill. Health Professional. Davis
- Cember, H., and Johnson, T. E., (2009). *Introduction to Health Physics* (4th Ed.) The McGraw-Hill Companies New York, USA.
- Chakraborty, S.R., Azim, R., Rezaur Rahman, A.K.M., and Sarker, R., (2013). Radioactivity Concentrations in Soil and Transfer Factors of Radionuclides from Soil to Grass and Plants in the Chittagong City of Bangladesh. *Journal of Physical Science*. 24(1), pp. 95–113.
- Dar, M. A., and El-Saman, M., (2012). The Radiation Hazards of some Radio-Elements in Petroleum and Phosphate Regions along the Red Sea, Egypt. *Third International Conference on Radiation Sciences and Applications at Hurghada, Egypt between 12 -16 November, 2012*. Pp 650-672.
- Dawood, H. I., (2011). Measurement of Radioactivity for Radium²²⁶ Isotopes in some Soil Samples from Different Regions in Karbala Governorate using Gamma Ray Spectrometry. *Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, Babylon University*. 19 (2).
- Faanu, A., (2011). Assessment of Public Exposure to Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials from Mining and Mineral Processing Activities of Tarkwa Goldmine in Ghana. *PhD thesis (Department of Chemistry)*. Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi (Unpublished).
- Gambo, N., (2014). Examination of Natural Radioactivity in Building Materials in Katsina State Using Gamma Ray Spectroscopy: A Case Study of Building Block. *MSc Thesis (Department of Physics)*. Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria. (Unpublished).
- Garcia, J.C., Plaza, C., Soler-Rovira, P., Polo, A. (2000). Long-term effects of Municipal Solid Waste Compost Application on soil enzyme activities and microbial biomass. *Soil and Biochemistry*. 32.1907- 1913.
- Gasó, M.I., Segovia, N., Morton, O. (2005). Environmental impact assessment of uranium ore mining and radioactive waste around a storage centre from Mexico. *Radioprotection*, Suppl. 1, Vol. 40: S739-S745. DOI: 10.1051/radiopro: 2005s1-108.
- Gbenu, S. T., (2011). Radiological Effects of Quarry Products in Southwestern Nigeria. *M.Sc. Thesis (Department of Physics)*. Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. (Unpublished).
- Gilmore, G. R., (2008). *Practical Gamma-ray Spectrometry* (2nd Ed). John Wiley & Sons Ltd. The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, England.
- Hafezi, S., Amidi, J. and Attarilar, A., (2005). Concentration of natural radionuclides in soil and essential exposure to the public in Tehran, Iran. *Journal of Radiation Resource*. 3(2) pp 85-88.
- Hall E. J., (2000). *Radiobiology for the Radiologist*. Fifth edition. ISBN 0-7817-2649-2.
- IAEA (2001). Working materials; the assessment of occupational protection conditions in workplaces with high levels of exposure to natural radiation. Report from Technical Committee Meeting, Vienna, Austria.
- IAEA, (1986). International Atomic Energy Agency, *Measurement of Radionuclides in Food and The Environment A Guide book*. IAEA Vienna Australia. Technical Reports Series No. 295.
- IAEA, (2002). Safety Standard Series *Management of radioactive waste from the mining and milling of ores*. No WS-G, 1.2, 27.

- IAEA, (2003). International Atomic Energy Agency, *Guidelines for radioelement mapping using gamma ray spectrometry data*, IAEA Vienna Australia.
- IAEA. (2003a). Extent of Environmental Contamination by NORMs and Technological Options for Mitigation. Technical Reports Series No.419.
- IAEA. (2003) (b). Guidelines for radioelement mapping using gamma ray spectrometry data. Vienna, Austria.
- IAEA. (2004). Soil sampling for environmental contaminants, IAEA-TECDOC-1415, Austria.
- IAEA. (2005). Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials (IV), Proceedings of an International Conference Held in Vienna, IAEA-TECDOC-1472, Poland.
- Ibrahim H.S., Hafez, A. F., Elanany, N. H., Motaweh, H. A., and Naim, M. A., (2006). Radiological Study on Soils, Foodstuff and Fertilizers in the Alexandria Region, Egypt, *Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences*. 30(5) pp1–9.
- ICRP Report (2006-2009). Revision 1, recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, ICRP Publication 103, Pergamon Press, Oxford. Pp 69-72, 2009-09-01.
- ICRP., (2007). International Committee on Radiation Protection. *International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements: Recommendations, 1991*. Report No. 60. New York, Pergamon press.
- Jibiri, N. N., Agomuo, J. C. (2007). Trace Elements and Radioactivity Measurements In Some Terrestrial Food Crops In Jos-Plateau, North Central, Nigeria. *An article of Radiation Protection*. 42 (1) pp 29-42.
- Jibiri, N.N and Temaagee, S.T (2013). Radionuclide contents in raw minerals and soil samples and the associated radiological risk from some mining sites in Benue State North-Central Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*. 4 (7): 2392-2400
- Jwanbot, D. I., Izam, M. M., Nyam, G. G., and John, H. N., (2003). Radionuclides Analysis of Some Soils and Food Crops in Barkin-Ladi LGA Plateau State-Nigeria. *Journal of Environment and Earth Science*.3 (3).
- Kaniu M.I., Angeyo K.H., Darby I.G. (2018). Occurrence and multivariate exploratory analysis of the natural radioactivity anomaly in the south coastal region of Kenya. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 146: 34 - 41.
- Kebwaro, M. (2009). Gamma ray spectrometric analysis of surface soils around Mrima Hill, Kenya, using NaI(Tl) detector and decomposition technique. *Msc Thesis (Department of Physics)*. Kenyatta University. Kenya. (Unpublished).
- Kolo, M. T., (2014). Natural Radioactivity and Risk Assessment of Sokoto Phosphate Rock Northwestern Nigeria, *African Journal of Environmental Science And Technology*. 8(9) pp. 532-53.
- Lipsztein, J.L., Dacunha, K.M., Azeredo, A.M., Juliao, L., Santos, M., Melo, D.R. (2001). Exposure of workers in mineral processing industries in Brazil. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*. 54:189-99.
- Merita, X., (2003). New Gamma-Ray Spectrometry Methods for Estimating K-40, U-235, And Th-232 Concentrations in Rocks of the Sardinia Batholith. A PhD Dissertation. (School of Natural Science) University of Sassari, Italy (Unpublished).
- Monika, Ś., Petyka, L., and Zych, M., (2010). Natural Radioactivity of Soil and Sediment Samples Collected from Postindustrial Area. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*. 19 (5) pp.1095-1099.
- Nwoke, J. E., (2006). Measurement of Gross Alpha and Beta Radioactivity in River Kaduna. *MSc Thesis (Department of Physics)*. Ahmadu Bello University Zaria Nigeria (Unpublished).
- Obaje, N. G., (2009). Geology And Mineral Resources of Nigeria. *Lecture Notes on Earth Sciences*. Published By Springer Verlag Barlin Heidelberg. pp. 219
- Olomo, J.B., Akinloye, M.K., Balogun, F.A., 1994. Distribution of gamma emitting-natural radionuclides in soils and water around nuclear research establishments, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research*. Section A 353, pp553-557.
- Omeje M., Adewoyin O.O., Joel E.S., Ehi-Eromosele C.O., Emenike C.P., Usikalu M.R., Akinwumi S.A., Zaidi E., Mohammad A.S. (2018). Natural radioactivity concentrations of ²²⁶Ra, ²³²Th and ⁴⁰K in commercial building materials and their lifetime cancer risk assessment in dwellers. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal*, 24(8): 2036-2053. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2018.1438171>.

- Osoro M., Rathore I., Mangala M. and Mustapha A. (2011). Radioactivity in Surface Soils around the Proposed Sites for Titanium Mining Project in Kenya, *Journal of Environmental Protection* (1) pp460-464.
- Rabiu A., (2013). *Analysis of Activity Concentrations Due to Natural Radionuclides in the Sediment, Fish and Water of Kainji Lake*. M.Sc. Thesis (Department of Physics). Ahmadu Bello University Zaria Nigeria (Unpublished).
- Ramasamy, V., Senthil S., Meenakshisundaram, V. and Gajendran, V. (2009). Measurement of natural radioactivity in beach sediments from north east coast of Tamilnadu, India, *Resource Journal of Applied Science and Engineering Technology*.1 (2): 54-58.
- Region, the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau in China Omeje M., Adagunodo T.A., Akinwumi S.A., Adewoyin O.O., Joel E.S., Husin W. and Mohd S.H. (2019). Investigation of Driller's Exposure to Natural Radioactivity and its Radiological Risks in Low Latitude Region using Neutron Activation Analysis. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology*, 10(1): 1897 – 1920.
- Saidu, A., and Ike, E. E., (2013). Survey of Gross Alpha Radioactivity in Bore Hole and Well Water in Sokoto City North-Western Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, 20(3) pp20-26. ISSN 0794-5698
- Sharma, B. K. (2004). *Industrial Chemistry; Including Chemical Engineering* Goel Publishing House Merut, pp.234-265.
- Thompson, M. A., (2012). Determination of ^{226}Ra in Fish Using Liquid Scintillation Analysis. *MSc Thesis (Department of Medical Physics)*. McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario (Unpublished).
- Tsoufanidis, N., (2005). *Measurement and Detection of Radiation* (2nd Ed) Taylor & Francis USA Protection.
- Tufail, M., Akhtar, N., Javied, S. and Hamid, T. (2007). Natural radioactivity hazards of building bricks fabricated from saline soil of two districts of Pakistan, *Journal of Radiological Protection*. Vol27. pp 481-492.
- Turner, J. E., (2007). *Atoms, Radiation, and Radiation Protection* (3rd Ed). Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & CO KGaA.
- Tzortzis, M., Tsetos, H., (2004). A comprehensive study of natural gamma radioactivity levels and associated dose rates from surface soils in cyprus. *Journal of Radiation and Dosimetry*.109 pp 217-24
- Umar, A.M., Onimisi, M.Y., and Jonah, S.A., (2012). Baseline Measurement of Natural Radioactivity in Soil, Vegetation and Water in the Industrial District of the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) Abuja, Nigeria. *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*.2(3):pp266-274.
- Umar, S., Zakari, I.Y., Abdulkarim, M. S., (2013). Determination of Natural Radioactivity levels using Gamma-ray Spectrometry. *International Journal of Engineering Science Invention*. 2 (7), pp. 5-8.
- UNSCEAR (2000). United Nations Scientific of Committee on the Effect of atomic Radiation. *Effects and risks of ionizing radiation*, United Nations, New York.
- Usikalu M.R., Oderinde A., Adagunodo T.A. and Akinpelu A. (2018). Radioactivity Concentration and Dose Assessment of Soil Samples in Cement Factory and Environs in Ogun State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, 9(9): 1047- 1059.
- Usman, N. M., (2015). Radiological Assessment of Water and Sediments of Zobe Dam Dutsinma in Katsina State for Natural Radionuclides. *MSc Thesis (Department of Physics)*. Ahmadu Bello University Zaria Nigeria (Unpublished).
- Xinwei, L., Lingqing, W., Xiaodan, J., Leipeng, Y., Gelian., D., (2006). Specific activity and hazards of Archeozoic-Cambrian rock samples collected from the Weibei area of Shaanxi, China. *Radiation protection and dosimetry*. 118, 352-359.
- Yanguatin, H., Tobon, J., Ramirez, J. (2017). Pozzolanic reactivity of kaolin clays, a review, *Revista Ingeniería de Construcción*, Vol 32 No 2, pp 13-24